



sc\_abbeville\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	1	1,961	0.051%
2	REP Coroner (Vote for 1)	1	1,945	0.051%
3	REP County Council District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	345	0.000%
4	REP State House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	2	0.000%
5	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	397	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4,650</b>	<b>0.043%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_aiken\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	9,228	0.000%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 81 (Vote for 1)	0	3,863	0.000%
3	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	0	9,333	0.000%
4	REP County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	1,068	0.000%
5	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	1,897	0.000%
6	DEM State House of Representatives District 82 (Vote for 1)	0	518	0.000%
7	DEM State Senate District 40 (Vote for 1)	0	267	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26,174</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_allendale\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	77	0.000%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 91 (Vote for 1)	0	79	0.000%
3	DEM State Senate District 40 (Vote for 1)	0	388	0.000%
4	DEM County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	165	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_anderson\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	14	22,965	0.061%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 8 (Vote for 1)	0	4,892	0.000%
3	REP Solicitor Circuit 10 (Vote for 1)	15	22,873	0.066%
4	REP Coroner (Vote for 1)	13	22,410	0.058%
5	REP County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	3,707	0.000%
6	REP State House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	4,203	0.000%
7	REP State House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	5,642	0.000%
8	REP County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	4,441	0.000%
9	REP State House of Representatives District 9 (Vote for 1)	0	4,450	0.000%
10	REP State House of Representatives District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	2,799	0.000%
11	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	1,536	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>99,918</b>	<b>0.042%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_bamberg\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	134	0.000%
2	DEM State Senate District 40 (Vote for 1)	0	1,274	0.000%
3	DEM Coroner (Vote for 1)	0	1,320	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,728</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_barnwell\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	1,535	0.000%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 91 (Vote for 1)	0	1,512	0.000%
3	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	0	1,561	0.000%
4	REP County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	343	0.000%
5	REP County Council District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	409	0.000%
6	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	434	0.000%
7	DEM State Senate District 40 (Vote for 1)	0	520	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,314</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_beaufort\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	13,565	0.000%
2	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	6,865	0.000%
3	DEM State House of Representatives District 122 (Vote for 1)	0	1	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20,431</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_berkeley\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	2	11,757	0.017%
2	REP Auditor (Vote for 1)	2	11,092	0.018%
3	REP State House of Representatives District 102 (Vote for 1)	0	1,056	0.000%
4	REP County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	2,118	0.000%
5	REP State Senate District 44 (Vote for 1)	0	4,572	0.000%
6	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	3	5,077	0.059%
7	DEM State Senate District 32 (Vote for 1)	0	794	0.000%
8	DEM State House of Representatives District 102 (Vote for 1)	0	1,208	0.000%
9	DEM State House of Representatives District 15 (Vote for 1)	0	323	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>37,997</b>	<b>0.018%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination





sc\_calhoun\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	772	0.000%
2	REP State Senate District 26 (Vote for 1)	0	397	0.000%
3	REP State Senate District 36 (Vote for 1)	0	383	0.000%
4	REP County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	288	0.000%
5	DEM State Senate District 26 (Vote for 1)	0	1,076	0.000%
6	DEM State House of Representatives District 93 (Vote for 1)	0	1,770	0.000%
7	DEM Solicitor Circuit 1 (Vote for 1)	0	1,688	0.000%
8	DEM County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	476	0.000%
9	DEM State Senate District 36 (Vote for 1)	0	602	0.000%
10	DEM County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	275	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,727</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_charleston\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	9	15,208	0.059%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 112 (Vote for 1)	1	3,611	0.028%
3	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	10	21,400	0.047%
4	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	1	6,489	0.015%
5	REP State Senate District 44 (Vote for 1)	0	1,912	0.000%
6	REP State Senate District 41 (Vote for 1)	0	4,310	0.000%
7	REP State House of Representatives District 114 (Vote for 1)	0	1,748	0.000%
8	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	4	6,270	0.064%
9	DEM State Senate District 32 (Vote for 1)	0	277	0.000%
10	DEM State Senate District 42 (Vote for 1)	0	2,872	0.000%
11	DEM State House of Representatives District 15 (Vote for 1)	0	459	0.000%
12	DEM State House of Representatives District 116 (Vote for 1)	0	1,854	0.000%
13	DEM State House of Representatives District 111 (Vote for 1)	0	1,934	0.000%
14	DEM State House of Representatives District 109 (Vote for 1)	0	751	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>69,095</b>	<b>0.036%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_cherokee\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	1,361	0.000%
2	REP County Council District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	480	0.000%
3	REP County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	487	0.000%
4	DEM County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	486	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,814</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_chester\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:32

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP State Senate District 17 (Vote for 1)	0	2,360	0.000%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 43 (Vote for 1)	0	1,855	0.000%
3	DEM Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	0	995	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,210</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



**sc\_chesterfield\_2024p**  
**Audit Threshold Report**

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
<b>Final</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>2024-06-17 14:32</b>

	<b>Contest Name</b>	<b>Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]</b>	<b>Sum of Votes Cast by Contest</b>	<b>Audit Ratio [2]</b>
1	REP State Senate District 27 (Vote for 1)	0	2,365	0.000%
2	REP Solicitor Circuit 4 (Vote for 1)	1	2,992	0.033%
3	REP Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	1	2,983	0.034%
4	REP County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	441	0.000%
5	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	672	0.000%
6	DEM State House of Representatives District 54 (Vote for 1)	0	406	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9,859</b>	<b>0.020%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_clarendon\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM State Senate District 36 (Vote for 1)	6	2,514	0.239%
2	DEM State House of Representatives District 64 (Vote for 1)	6	2,349	0.255%
3	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	2	1,740	0.115%
4	REP State Senate District 36 (Vote for 1)	2	1,786	0.112%
5	REP County Council Chair (Vote for 1)	2	1,797	0.111%
6	REP County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	745	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>10,931</b>	<b>0.165%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_colleton\_2024p2  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	2,113	0.000%
2	REP County Council District 45 (Vote for 1)	0	1,633	0.000%
3	REP State Senate District 41 (Vote for 1)	0	1,118	0.000%
4	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	246	0.000%
5	DEM State Senate District 40 (Vote for 1)	0	177	0.000%
6	DEM Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	0	1,880	0.000%
7	DEM County Council District 23 (Vote for 1)	0	1,310	0.000%
8	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	174	0.000%
9	DEM State House of Representatives District 122 (Vote for 1)	0	92	0.000%
10	DEM State House of Representatives District 116 (Vote for 1)	0	35	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,778</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_darlington\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	2,029	0.000%
2	DEM State House of Representatives District 54 (Vote for 1)	0	233	0.000%
3	REP Solicitor Circuit 4 (Vote for 1)	0	5,092	0.000%
4	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	0	4,997	0.000%
5	REP Coroner (Vote for 1)	1	5,156	0.019%
6	REP County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	584	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>18,091</b>	<b>0.006%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination





sc\_dillon\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	2	3,924	0.051%
2	DEM Sheriff (Vote for 1)	6	4,730	0.127%
3	DEM Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	6	4,543	0.132%
4	DEM County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	845	0.000%
5	DEM County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	4	1,072	0.373%
6	DEM State House of Representatives District 54 (Vote for 1)	0	217	0.000%
7	DEM County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	808	0.000%
8	REP Solicitor Circuit 4 (Vote for 1)	0	798	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>16,937</b>	<b>0.106%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_dorchester\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	2	1,737	0.115%
2	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	2	10,223	0.020%
3	REP Coroner (Vote for 1)	2	9,765	0.020%
4	REP State House of Representatives District 102 (Vote for 1)	1	684	0.146%
5	REP State Senate District 41 (Vote for 1)	1	2,417	0.041%
6	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	8,209	0.000%
7	REP State House of Representatives District 114 (Vote for 1)	1	1,865	0.054%
8	REP State House of Representatives District 98 (Vote for 1)	0	2,136	0.000%
9	DEM Solicitor Circuit 1 (Vote for 1)	0	3,353	0.000%
10	DEM Sheriff (Vote for 1)	0	3,379	0.000%
11	DEM State House of Representatives District 102 (Vote for 1)	0	303	0.000%
12	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	2,319	0.000%
13	DEM State Senate District 42 (Vote for 1)	0	242	0.000%
14	DEM State House of Representatives District 109 (Vote for 1)	0	397	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>47,029</b>	<b>0.019%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_edgefield\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	1,745	0.000%
2	REP County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	422	0.000%
3	REP County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	618	0.000%
4	REP County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	415	0.000%
5	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	588	0.000%
6	DEM State House of Representatives District 82 (Vote for 1)	0	636	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,424</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_fairfield\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP State Senate District 17 (Vote for 1)	0	737	0.000%
2	DEM Sheriff (Vote for 1)	1	3,312	0.030%
3	DEM Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	2	3,296	0.061%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7,345</b>	<b>0.041%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_florence\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	3	4,806	0.062%
2	DEM County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	682	0.000%
3	DEM Mayor Florence (Vote for 1)	3	3,207	0.094%
4	DEM State Senate District 32 (Vote for 1)	0	305	0.000%
5	REP County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	597	0.000%
6	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	119	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9,716</b>	<b>0.062%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_georgetown\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	2,193	0.000%
2	DEM State Senate District 32 (Vote for 1)	0	1,982	0.000%
3	DEM County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	765	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,940</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_greenville\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 4 (Vote for 1)	25	47,110	0.053%
2	REP State Senate District 6 (Vote for 1)	4	14,240	0.028%
3	REP State House of Representatives District 20 (Vote for 1)	0	5,743	0.000%
4	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	28	52,256	0.054%
5	REP Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	26	49,481	0.053%
6	REP Coroner (Vote for 1)	23	49,237	0.047%
7	REP County Council District 22 (Vote for 1)	4	6,173	0.065%
8	REP State House of Representatives District 22 (Vote for 1)	4	6,032	0.066%
9	REP State House of Representatives District 24 (Vote for 1)	0	4,216	0.000%
10	REP State House of Representatives District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	504	0.000%
11	REP State House of Representatives District 19 (Vote for 1)	0	3,320	0.000%
12	REP State House of Representatives District 17 (Vote for 1)	2	5,959	0.034%
13	REP County Council District 20 (Vote for 1)	0	6,904	0.000%
14	REP County Council District 21 (Vote for 1)	0	5,376	0.000%
15	REP State House of Representatives District 35 (Vote for 1)	0	2,156	0.000%
16	REP County Council District 27 (Vote for 1)	0	4,329	0.000%
17	REP State Senate District 12 (Vote for 1)	0	3,360	0.000%
18	REP State House of Representatives District 18 (Vote for 1)	0	5,871	0.000%
19	REP State House of Representatives District 28 (Vote for 1)	0	3,911	0.000%
20	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	2	5,456	0.037%
21	REP State House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	95	0.000%
22	REP County Council District 18 (Vote for 1)	0	5,419	0.000%
23	REP State House of Representatives District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	348	0.000%
24	DEM State Senate District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	3,787	0.000%
25	DEM State House of Representatives District 25 (Vote for 1)	0	2,071	0.000%
26	DEM County Council District 25 (Vote for 1)	0	2,106	0.000%
27	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	948	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>296,408</b>	<b>0.040%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_greenwood\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
<b>Final</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>2024-06-17 14:33</b>

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	5,607	0.000%
2	REP State Senate District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	5,730	0.000%
3	REP County Council District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	1,107	0.000%
4	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	1,464	0.000%
5	DEM State Senate District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	1,484	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15,392</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination





sc\_hampton\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	221	0.000%
2	DEM State House of Representatives District 122 (Vote for 1)	1	1,694	0.059%
3	DEM County Treasurer (Vote for 1)	0	1,859	0.000%
4	DEM County Council At Large (Vote for 2)	0	3,284	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7,058</b>	<b>0.014%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_horry\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	3,414	0.000%
2	DEM State House of Representatives District 57 (Vote for 1)	0	109	0.000%
3	DEM State Senate District 32 (Vote for 1)	0	194	0.000%
4	REP State House of Representatives District 58 (Vote for 1)	0	3,142	0.000%
5	REP Auditor (Vote for 1)	3	18,193	0.016%
6	REP Board of Education District 11 (Vote for 1)	0	2,687	0.000%
7	REP County Council District 10 (Vote for 1)	1	2,364	0.042%
8	REP State House of Representatives District 106 (Vote for 1)	0	2,745	0.000%
9	REP State Senate District 33 (Vote for 1)	0	7,081	0.000%
10	REP State House of Representatives District 61 (Vote for 1)	0	2,552	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>42,481</b>	<b>0.009%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_jasper\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	1,866	0.000%
2	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	0	2,812	0.000%
3	REP County Council At Large (Vote for 1)	0	2,786	0.000%
4	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	855	0.000%
5	DEM State House of Representatives District 122 (Vote for 1)	0	1,092	0.000%
6	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	396	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9,807</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_kershaw\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP State Senate District 35 (Vote for 1)	1	1,892	0.053%
2	REP Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	3	3,849	0.078%
3	REP State Senate District 27 (Vote for 1)	2	2,105	0.095%
4	REP State House of Representatives District 45 (Vote for 1)	0	570	0.000%
5	DEM State Senate District 35 (Vote for 1)	4	1,418	0.282%
6	DEM State House of Representatives District 70 (Vote for 1)	4	1,073	0.373%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10,907</b>	<b>0.128%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



**sc\_lancaster\_2024p**  
**Audit Threshold Report**

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
<b>Final</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>2024-06-17 14:33</b>

	<b>Contest Name</b>	<b>Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]</b>	<b>Sum of Votes Cast by Contest</b>	<b>Audit Ratio [2]</b>
1	REP State Senate District 27 (Vote for 1)	0	2,958	0.000%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 45 (Vote for 1)	0	1,825	0.000%
3	REP State Senate District 17 (Vote for 1)	0	500	0.000%
4	REP County Council District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	622	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,905</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_laurens\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	10	7,821	0.128%
2	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	10	7,910	0.126%
3	REP Coroner (Vote for 1)	10	7,809	0.128%
4	REP County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	1,457	0.000%
5	REP County Council District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	1,294	0.000%
6	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	837	0.000%
7	DEM County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	333	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>27,461</b>	<b>0.109%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_lee\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM State Senate District 35 (Vote for 1)	0	356	0.000%
2	DEM County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	326	0.000%
3	DEM School Board District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	156	0.000%
4	REP State Senate District 35 (Vote for 1)	0	243	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,081</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_lexington\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	14	29,009	0.048%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 39 (Vote for 1)	0	2,909	0.000%
3	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	14	29,208	0.048%
4	REP State Senate District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	5,965	0.000%
5	REP State House of Representatives District 87 (Vote for 1)	0	5,571	0.000%
6	REP County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	4,196	0.000%
7	REP State Senate District 23 (Vote for 1)	0	10,892	0.000%
8	REP State House of Representatives District 88 (Vote for 1)	0	3,685	0.000%
9	REP County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	3,260	0.000%
10	REP State House of Representatives District 85 (Vote for 1)	0	4,768	0.000%
11	REP County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	2,888	0.000%
12	REP State House of Representatives District 96 (Vote for 1)	0	3,285	0.000%
13	REP County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	2,373	0.000%
14	REP State Senate District 26 (Vote for 1)	1	4,171	0.024%
15	REP County Council District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	4,511	0.000%
16	REP State House of Representatives District 40 (Vote for 1)	0	470	0.000%
17	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	2	4,206	0.048%
18	DEM State Senate District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	446	0.000%
19	DEM State Senate District 26 (Vote for 1)	0	2,357	0.000%
20	DEM State House of Representatives District 93 (Vote for 1)	0	490	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>124,660</b>	<b>0.025%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination





sc\_marion\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	5,178	0.000%
2	DEM State House of Representatives District 57 (Vote for 1)	0	4,658	0.000%
3	DEM Probate Judge (Vote for 1)	0	5,874	0.000%
4	DEM Coroner (Vote for 1)	0	5,902	0.000%
5	DEM County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	824	0.000%
6	DEM County Council District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	800	0.000%
7	DEM County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	951	0.000%
8	DEM County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	798	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24,985</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_marlboro\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP Solicitor Circuit 4 (Vote for 1)	4	882	0.454%
2	REP County Treasurer (Vote for 1)	4	899	0.445%
3	REP County Council District 6 (Vote for 1)	2	345	0.580%
4	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 7 (Vote for 1)	8	2,618	0.306%
5	DEM State House of Representatives District 54 (Vote for 1)	5	2,573	0.194%
6	DEM Sheriff (Vote for 1)	8	3,096	0.258%
7	DEM Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	9	2,988	0.301%
8	DEM Coroner (Vote for 1)	7	3,014	0.232%
9	DEM County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	470	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>16,885</b>	<b>0.278%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_mccormick\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	1,342	0.000%
2	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	0	1,409	0.000%
3	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	477	0.000%
4	DEM County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	175	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,403</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_newberry\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	4,456	0.000%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 40 (Vote for 1)	0	4,727	0.000%
3	REP Coroner (Vote for 1)	0	4,683	0.000%
4	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	490	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14,356</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_oconee\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	10,370	0.000%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	5,107	0.000%
3	REP Solicitor Circuit 10 (Vote for 1)	0	10,409	0.000%
4	REP County Treasurer (Vote for 1)	0	10,063	0.000%
5	REP County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	2,048	0.000%
6	REP County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	1,879	0.000%
7	REP County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	2,217	0.000%
8	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	584	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>42,677</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_orangeburg\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	694	0.000%
2	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	514	0.000%
3	REP State Senate District 36 (Vote for 1)	0	280	0.000%
4	REP State House of Representatives District 91 (Vote for 1)	0	251	0.000%
5	DEM State Senate District 40 (Vote for 1)	0	4,580	0.000%
6	DEM Solicitor Circuit 1 (Vote for 1)	0	9,601	0.000%
7	DEM Sheriff (Vote for 1)	0	9,867	0.000%
8	DEM Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	0	9,670	0.000%
9	DEM Coroner (Vote for 1)	0	9,751	0.000%
10	DEM County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	1,583	0.000%
11	DEM State House of Representatives District 93 (Vote for 1)	0	2,238	0.000%
12	DEM County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	1,790	0.000%
13	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	1,997	0.000%
14	DEM State Senate District 36 (Vote for 1)	0	1,820	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54,636</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_pickens\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	17,480	0.000%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	2,911	0.000%
3	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	1	17,832	0.006%
4	REP County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	3,106	0.000%
5	REP County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	4,685	0.000%
6	REP County Council District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	3,204	0.000%
7	REP State House of Representatives District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	5,500	0.000%
8	REP County Council District 5 (Vote for 1)	0	3,388	0.000%
9	REP State House of Representatives District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	684	0.000%
10	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	666	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>59,456</b>	<b>0.002%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_richland\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	2	1,572	0.127%
2	REP State Senate District 26 (Vote for 1)	0	209	0.000%
3	REP State House of Representatives District 75 (Vote for 1)	0	2,843	0.000%
4	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	6,080	0.000%
5	REP State Senate District 35 (Vote for 1)	0	712	0.000%
6	DEM State Senate District 26 (Vote for 1)	0	1,275	0.000%
7	DEM State House of Representatives District 72 (Vote for 1)	0	1,641	0.000%
8	DEM County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	2,372	0.000%
9	DEM County Council District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	2,159	0.000%
10	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	10,848	0.000%
11	DEM State Senate District 22 (Vote for 1)	0	7,479	0.000%
12	DEM State Senate District 19 (Vote for 1)	0	6,151	0.000%
13	DEM State House of Representatives District 73 (Vote for 1)	0	2,206	0.000%
14	DEM State Senate District 35 (Vote for 1)	0	1,086	0.000%
15	DEM State House of Representatives District 70 (Vote for 1)	0	1,681	0.000%
16	DEM County Council District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	2,417	0.000%
17	DEM State House of Representatives District 79 (Vote for 1)	0	3,429	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>54,160</b>	<b>0.004%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination





sc\_saluda\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	2,384	0.000%
2	REP State Senate District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	1,169	0.000%
3	REP State House of Representatives District 39 (Vote for 1)	0	2,299	0.000%
4	REP Probate Judge (Vote for 1)	0	2,452	0.000%
5	REP County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	0	447	0.000%
6	REP County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	887	0.000%
7	DEM U.S. House of Representatives District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	319	0.000%
8	DEM State Senate District 10 (Vote for 1)	0	59	0.000%
9	DEM State House of Representatives District 82 (Vote for 1)	0	169	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10,185</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_spartanburg\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter      Write-ins Eliminated      Report Created:

<b>Final</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>2024-06-17 14:33</b>
--------------	------------	-------------------------

	<b>Contest Name</b>	<b>Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]</b>	<b>Sum of Votes Cast by Contest</b>	<b>Audit Ratio [2]</b>
1	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 4 (Vote for 1)	1	23,678	0.004%
2	REP State House of Representatives District 35 (Vote for 1)	0	2,455	0.000%
3	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	1	29,067	0.003%
4	REP County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	5,480	0.000%
5	REP State House of Representatives District 33 (Vote for 1)	0	4,748	0.000%
6	REP County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	5,064	0.000%
7	REP State House of Representatives District 38 (Vote for 1)	0	5,583	0.000%
8	REP State House of Representatives District 36 (Vote for 1)	0	3,727	0.000%
9	REP State Senate District 12 (Vote for 1)	0	8,088	0.000%
10	REP State House of Representatives District 34 (Vote for 1)	0	4,126	0.000%
11	DEM State Senate District 11 (Vote for 1)	0	1,475	0.000%
12	DEM County Council District 1 (Vote for 1)	0	1,350	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>94,841</b>	<b>0.002%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_sumter\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	DEM State Senate District 35 (Vote for 1)	0	2,279	0.000%
2	DEM State House of Representatives District 64 (Vote for 1)	0	499	0.000%
3	DEM State Senate District 36 (Vote for 1)	0	2,575	0.000%
4	DEM County Council District 7 (Vote for 1)	0	587	0.000%
5	REP State Senate District 35 (Vote for 1)	0	1,069	0.000%
6	REP State Senate District 36 (Vote for 1)	0	1,469	0.000%
7	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	434	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,912</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



**sc\_union\_2024p**  
**Audit Threshold Report**

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
<b>Final</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>2024-06-17 14:33</b>

	<b>Contest Name</b>	<b>Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]</b>	<b>Sum of Votes Cast by Contest</b>	<b>Audit Ratio [2]</b>
1	REP Solicitor Circuit 16 (Vote for 1)	0	1,282	0.000%
2	REP County Council District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	190	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,472</b>	<b>0.000%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



**sc\_williamsburg\_2024p**  
**Audit Threshold Report**

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
<b>Final</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>2024-06-17 14:33</b>

	<b>Contest Name</b>	<b>Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]</b>	<b>Sum of Votes Cast by Contest</b>	<b>Audit Ratio [2]</b>
1	DEM State Senate District 32 (Vote for 1)	1	3,986	0.025%
2	DEM Clerk of Court (Vote for 1)	1	3,989	0.025%
3	DEM County Council District 4 (Vote for 1)	0	805	0.000%
4	DEM State House of Representatives District 57 (Vote for 1)	0	677	0.000%
5	DEM County Council District 3 (Vote for 1)	0	684	0.000%
6	REP U.S. House of Representatives District 6 (Vote for 1)	0	225	0.000%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10,366</b>	<b>0.019%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination



sc\_york\_2024p  
Audit Threshold Report

Audit Stage Filter	Write-ins Eliminated	Report Created:
Final	N/A	2024-06-17 14:33

	Contest Name	Sum of Vote Differences (ABS Value) [1]	Sum of Votes Cast by Contest	Audit Ratio [2]
1	REP Solicitor Circuit 16 (Vote for 1)	27	23,903	0.113%
2	REP Sheriff (Vote for 1)	33	24,650	0.134%
3	REP County Council District 2 (Vote for 1)	4	5,235	0.076%
4	REP State Senate District 17 (Vote for 1)	5	3,145	0.159%
5	REP State House of Representatives District 43 (Vote for 1)	2	1,959	0.102%
6	REP State House of Representatives District 26 (Vote for 1)	0	3,894	0.000%
7	REP State House of Representatives District 66 (Vote for 1)	4	4,143	0.097%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>66,929</b>	<b>0.112%</b>

Note 1: Using the absolute value prevents positive and negative discrepancies from cancelling each other out

Note 2: Values of the Audit Threshold Ratio exceeding 0.5% would trigger an examination