

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During the recount of the State Senate District 29 race in Lee County, several issues were found.

First, 360 test ballots were mistakenly included in the original Early Voting Group results because a "Zero Report" wasn't run before election night tabulation. These test ballots were not included in the recount, causing lower totals for early voting in the recount.

Second, 288 early voting ballots were scanned twice during the recount—once into the Election Day Group totals and again into the Early Voting Group totals. Of the 288 ballots, 276 included votes in Senate District 29; 12 showed no vote (undervoted) in the District 29 contest, and one ballot was unaccounted for. When these 288 duplicate ballots were identified and removed from the Election Day Group recount tally, the recount total is equivalent to the original election night tabulation for the Election Day Group (notwithstanding the one unaccounted for ballot).

Total results for the entire contest are as follows: Mr. Chaplin received 24,783 votes and Mr. Malloy received 24,529 votes.

COMMISSIONERS

HON. DENNIS W. SHEDD
Chairman

JOANNE DAY

CLIFFORD J. EDLER

LINDA MCCALL

SCOTT MOSELEY

HOWARD M. KNAPP
Executive Director

1122 Lady Street
Suite 500
Columbia, SC 29201

P.O. Box 5987
Columbia, SC 29250

803.734.9060
Fax: 803.734.9366
www.sevotes.gov

The following is a summary of the evaluation of the mandatory recount conducted by the Lee County Board of Voter Registration and Elections (Lee BVRE) for the 2024 General Election results in the contest for South Carolina State Senate District 29.

At the conclusion of the recount there was an overall difference of 70 fewer overall votes for Malloy and Chaplin than were tabulated on Election Night. However further review showed that the differences between the original and recount results were not limited to the District 29 contest. The vote totals in the Early Voting Reporting Group in the recount were significantly lower than the in the original tabulation, while the vote totals in the Election Day Reporting Group in the recount were significantly higher than the original tabulation (Report Page-1). There were two distinct problems that caused the differences between the Election Night and Recount tabulations.

Test Ballot Issue.

After in-depth review and communications with the Director of the Lee BVRE (Lee Director), it was discovered that 360 test ballots were scanned into the Early Voting Reporting Group in the original tabulation on election night. The Lee Director informed the State Election Commission they had used two scanners during testing, but it appeared only one of those scanners' test results were cleared from the database before voting started on election day. This left one scanners' test results in the database. These results would have been detected if the Lee BVRE had created an election "Zero Report" before the start of election night tabulation. This report was apparently not created so the test results were not discovered and cleared from the database before tabulation began on election night.

These test ballots were NOT scanned during the recount. This led to the vote totals for the Early Voting Reporting Group in the recount to be lower than the tabulation conducted on Election Night. Using ballot images and Cast Vote Records (CVR) from the original tabulation we were able to isolate the test ballots included with the election night tabulation and tally the votes contained on the test ballots (Report Page-2). Once the test ballot vote totals were subtracted from the original tabulation, the Early Voting Reporting Group exactly matched the recount tally for early voting.

Double-Counted Ballot Issue.

The second issue was that there were a significantly higher number of votes in the Election Day Reporting Group in the recount tabulation. The Lee Director informed the State Election Commission that there was a possibility that a batch of early voting ballots were scanned in twice during the recount, once into the Election Day Reporting Group, and then again into the Early Voting Reporting Group. When the county had almost finalized the recount tabulation, the Lee Director noticed the Early Voting Reporting Group was missing a significant number of votes. That evening the Lee Director found an envelope that contained a batch of early voting ballots. This envelope included early vote ballot cast in the District 29 contest. The envelope of ballots was found in a ballot box containing ballots cast on election day. The Lee Director then proceeded to scan the ballots found in this envelope into the Early Voting Reporting Group. SEC staff examined the ballot images and Cast Vote Records (CVR) from the recount to determine that the batch of early voting ballots were indeed scanned twice during the recount.

To determine this the SEC had created ballot CVR lists for each precinct involved. We were able to identify the batch of early voting ballots at question because they were the last batch of ballots read into

the Electionware database (Report Page-3). Ballots do not normally have any distinguishing marks to easily distinguish them, however the SEC was able to sort them to identify any write-in ballots that were cast. In the Bishopville No. 1 precinct, a ballot with 3 write-in votes appeared to be repeated in two separate ballot images (Report Page-4 and Page-6). These write-in selections were distinct enough that they were not likely to be incidentally identical write-in votes by different voters. Further, the ballots indicated the same ballot-style number, and contained the same vote selections other than the written-in votes. The CVRs for these write-in ballots were used to verify that, during the recount, one of the ballot images was scanned into the Election Day Reporting Group (Report Page-5) and the other scanned into the Early Voting Reporting Group (Report Page-7). It was then verified that every ballot scanned in the last batch of early voting ballots scanned during the recount had a matching ballot in the Election Day Reporting Group. This information led to the conclusion that the ballots were indeed scanned twice during the recount, once in the Election Day Reporting Group and again in the Early Voting Reporting Group.

A total of 288 early voting ballots containing Senate District 29 were scanned in the final batch of early voting ballots scanned during the recount. This would still leave one ballot and two votes unaccounted for in the Lee County Recount for District 29; in other words, one additional ballot cast in the recount and two additional votes in the recount that cannot be accounted for.

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

Below are the findings of the evaluation of the recount of Senate District 29 in Lee County.

The two reports directly below display a comparison of recount totals vs original tabulation (election night) totals by precinct reporting groups. The discrepancies between the two are highlighted in yellow under the recount report numbers.

State Senate, District 29 (Vote For 1)																	
Recount																	
REP ID Chaplin									DEM Gerald Malloy								
Precinct	Registered Voters	Ballots Cast	Election Day	Early Voting	Absentee By IV	Failsafe	Provisional	Failsafe Provi	Total Votes	Election Day	Early Voting	Absentee By IV	Failsafe	Provisional	Failsafe Provi	Total Votes	Total
BISHOPVILLE 1	597	456	26	44	456	3	0	0	73	142	217	12	0	0	0	371	444
BISHOPVILLE 2	616	443	27	47	443	3	0	0	77	139	199	11	0	0	0	349	426
BISHOPVILLE 3	690	580	116	136	580	6	0	0	258	111	192	13	0	0	0	316	574
BISHOPVILLE 4	898	655	55	76	655	8	0	0	139	209	290	4	0	0	0	503	642
CYPRESS	501	392	53	77	392	10	0	0	140	133	105	6	0	0	0	244	384
ELLIOTT	376	321	19	28	321	3	0	0	50	147	115	4	0	0	0	266	316
LYNCHBURG	549	420	17	64	420	2	0	0	83	96	224	3	0	0	0	323	407
MANVILLE	568	409	43	56	409	0	0	0	99	135	168	1	0	0	0	304	403
MT. CLIO	196	170	16	30	170	0	0	0	46	47	71	4	0	0	0	122	168
SOUTH LYNCHBURG	302	235	46	37	235	1	0	0	84	64	74	8	0	0	0	146	230
ST. CHARLES	790	658	105	84	658	7	0	0	196	193	239	17	0	0	0	449	645
Failsafe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Provisional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	4
Failsafe Provisional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total:	6083	4739	523	679	4739	43	0	0	1245	1416	1894	83	0	4	0	3397	4643
		-73	55	-184					-129	223	-163	-1	-4	4		59	-70

State Senate, District 29 (Vote For 1)																	
Original																	
REP ID Chaplin									DEM Gerald Malloy								
Precinct	Registered Voters	Ballots Cast	Election Day	Early Voting	Absentee By IV	Failsafe	Provisional	Failsafe Provi	Total Votes	Election Day	Early Voting	Absentee By IV	Failsafe	Provisional	Failsafe Provi	Total Votes	Total
BISHOPVILLE 1	597	445	22	55	445	3	0	0	80	112	230	13	0	0	0	355	435
BISHOPVILLE 2	616	462	24	70	462	3	0	0	97	118	216	11	0	0	0	345	442
BISHOPVILLE 3	690	631	106	171	631	6	0	0	283	86	242	13	0	0	0	341	624
BISHOPVILLE 4	898	644	43	101	644	8	0	0	152	157	318	4	0	0	0	479	631
CYPRESS	501	404	46	101	404	10	0	0	157	117	114	6	0	0	0	237	394
ELLIOTT	376	337	18	54	337	3	0	0	75	129	122	4	0	0	0	255	330
LYNCHBURG	549	415	17	66	415	2	0	0	85	88	225	3	0	0	0	316	402
MANVILLE	568	393	43	60	393	0	0	0	103	114	170	1	0	0	0	285	388
MT. CLIO	196	171	8	37	171	0	0	0	45	43	77	4	0	0	0	124	169
SOUTH LYNCHBURG	302	247	43	44	247	1	0	0	88	64	82	8	0	0	0	154	242
ST. CHARLES	790	663	98	104	663	7	0	0	209	165	261	17	0	0	0	443	652
Failsafe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	4
Provisional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Failsafe Provisional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total:	6083	4812	468	863	4812	43	0	0	1374	1193	2057	84	4	0	0	3338	4713

The report below displays the exact discrepancies by precinct. Green highlighted areas reference increased numbers of votes than the original tabulation (Election Night), and orange areas reference fewer votes than the original tabulation (Election Night).

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT															
Polling Location	Chaplin							Malloy							COMBINED TOTAL
	ED	EV	ABS	FS	PROV	FS PROV	TOTAL	ED	EV	ABS	FS	PROV	FS PROV	TOTAL	
Bishopville 1	4	-11	0	0	0	0	-7	30	-13	-1	0	0	0	16	9
Bishopville 2	3	-23	0	0	0	0	-20	21	-17	0	0	0	0	4	-16
Bishopville 3	10	-35	0	0	0	0	-25	25	-50	0	0	0	0	-25	-50
Bishopville 4	12	-25	0	0	0	0	-13	52	-28	0	0	0	0	24	11
Cypress	7	-24	0	0	0	0	-17	16	-9	0	0	0	0	7	-10
Elliott	1	-26	0	0	0	0	-25	18	-7	0	0	0	0	11	-14
Lynchburg	0	-2	0	0	0	0	-2	8	-1	0	0	0	0	7	5
Manville	0	-4	0	0	0	0	-4	21	-2	0	0	0	0	19	15
Mt. Clio	8	-7	0	0	0	0	1	4	-6	0	0	0	0	-2	-1
S. Lynchburg	3	-7	0	0	0	0	-4	0	-8	0	0	0	0	-8	-12
St. Charles	7	-20	0	0	0	0	-13	28	-22	0	0	0	0	6	-7
FAILSAFE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	-4	-4
PROVISIONAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4
TOTALS	55	-184	0	0	0	0	-129	223	-163	-1	-4	4	0	59	-70

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

Test Ballot Issue

The first issue evaluated was the large number of missing votes in the Early Voting Reporting Group. This is a reporting group designated for votes cast in the Early Voting period of the election. Only votes cast in early voting locations during the early voting period should be loaded to this reporting group.

After some preliminary discussion, Lee County’s Director notified SEC that she had noticed test results in her Early Voting Reporting Group ballot images that were tabulated on Election Night (November 5th). The test ballots were identified by two identifiers. One identifier was the word “TEST” handwritten on the test ballots. The other identifier was the list of cast vote records (CVR) from the election night tabulation. Using these identifiers, we were able to sort out the test results. Below are the total test results by precinct that should **NOT** have been included in the original tabulation on election night.

TEST RESULTS						
Polling Location	Chaplin	Malloy	Undervote	Overvote	Write-In	Total
Bishopville 1	11	13	1	0	0	25
Bishopville 2	23	17	5	0	0	45
Bishopville 3	35	50	1	0	0	86
Bishopville 4	25	28	1	0	0	54
Cypress	24	9	2	0	0	35
Elliott	26	7	2	0	0	35
Lynchburg	2	1	0	0	0	3
Manville	4	2	0	0	0	6
Mt. Clio	7	6	0	0	0	13
S. Lynchburg	7	8	0	0	0	15
St. Charles	20	22	1	0	0	43
TOTALS	184	163	13	0	0	360

All the test ballots were all scanned into the Early Voting Reporting Group therefore would only impact that reporting group. These results perfectly match the number of missing votes in the Early Voting Reporting Group for the recount (Report Page-1) and it appears to be why there were fewer early votes tabulated in the recount for District 29 in Lee County.

Relevant ballot reconciliation records on Election Day and for the recount did not account for the difference in early votes tabulated on Election Night and for the Recount. Also, all scanners used during early voting produced a Zero Report indicating no votes were already present on the scanners on the first day of early voting when the scanners were first opened for use. Given the factors noted above and during evaluation of the information available to us, it appears a thumb drive containing the test votes was read into the Electionware Workstation and that these votes were never removed after testing and before tabulation on Election Night, which caused the test ballot results to be included in the original tabulation on election night. This is the problem that would have been remedied by running a “zero report” from the Electionware Workstation before tabulating began on Election Night.

Double-Counted Ballot Issue.

The other issue with the recount results is the fact that a total of 288 additional votes were recorded in the Election Day Reporting Group. This is a reporting group designated for votes cast on Election Day. Only election day totals should be loaded to this reporting group.

After the recount was completed the Lee County Director was missing a high number of votes in the Early Voting Reporting Group. Some of the discrepancy was due to the test votes not being present in the recount data (which it should not have been). Per the Lee County Director, a batch of Early Voting ballots that were discovered later that day was scanned into the Early Voting Reporting Group. Those

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

ballots were scanned into the Early Voting Reporting Group and was the last batch of ballots read into Electionware during the recount.

After evaluation of the recount Election Day Reporting Group totals, it was determined a batch of 288 ballots containing 276 votes for Senate District 29, and 12 undervotes were scanned twice during the recount. This was found by comparing the Election Day Reporting Group ballot images in a precinct to the Early Voting Reporting Group ballot images. A specific ballot image (displayed in the following pages) had three original Write-In selections. The selections are original enough to determine the images came from the same ballot but was scanned once in the Election Day Reporting Group then again in the Early Voting Group. All ballots in the last batch of the Early Voting Reporting Group have a “twin” ballot in the Election Day Reporting group.

Below is a cast vote listing for Bishopville No. 1 precinct. This report is filtered to only list ballots with Write-In selections. This report is generated to indicate the sequential order in which the thumb drives from the scanners were read into the Electionware workstation. You will notice the last three entries are from the early voting ballots that the County Director located and scanned at the end of the day.

Cast Vote Record	Batch	Ballot Status	Original Ballot Exception	Remaining Ballot Exception	Write-In Type	Results Report	Ballot Style	Reporting Group	Tabulator CVR
	Early Voting - 1	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 1	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 1	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 1	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 4	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 4	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 4	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 4	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 4	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 4	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 3	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 2	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 2	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	All Precincts - 5	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 5	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B004	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 5	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B004	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	All Precincts - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Election Day	
	Early Voting - 9	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 9	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B003	Early Voting	
	Early Voting - 10	Not Reviewed	Undervote		Marked	N	GEN Bishopville No. 1 B004	Early Voting	

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

Image of a ballot scanned into the Election Day Reporting Group. You will notice the three distinct Write-In selections.

Ballot Status

Not Reviewed

Ballots Cast Vote Record

Electionware CVR:	Tabulator CVR:
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

SizeFrontBackMore ▾

LEE
STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION
11/5/2024
BISHOPVILLE NO. 1, GEN BISHOPVILLE N

STRAIGHT PARTY----- NO SELECTION
PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT----- DONALD J TRUMP/JD VANCE
U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DIST 5----- RALPH W NORMAN
STATE SENATE DIST 29----- JD CHARLIN
STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DIST 50----- W/I: EDDIE BAKER ←
SHERIFF----- W/I: BOLL NEEVILL ←
PROBATE JUDGE----- W/I: HUGH JAYNUS ←
CLERK OF COURT----- TERESA ARLEDGE BROWN
CORONER----- LARRY J LOGAN
SOIL AND WATER DISTRICT COMMISSION----- NO SELECTION
AMENDMENT 1----- YES

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

Cast Vote Record (CVR) from the ballot image on the previous page. This verifies this ballot was indeed cast into the Election Day Reporting Group.

Cast Vote Record: [REDACTED]

Poll Place: All Precincts
Precinct: Bishopville No. 1
Ballot Style: GEN Bishopville No. 1 [REDACTED] [Sheets 1 - 1]
Party: Nonpartisan
Tabulator CVR: [REDACTED]
Machine Serial: Poll Place Count - 3023420334
Blank Ballot: NO
Reporting Group: Election Day

Contests:

Straight Party (312)		
Vote For: 1		
Undervoted		Undervoted
President and Vice President (115)		
Vote For: 1		
Donald J Trump (203)	Counted	
U.S. House of Representatives District 5 (118)		
Vote For: 1		
Ralph W Norman (211)	Counted	
State Senate District 29 (123)		
Vote For: 1		
JD Chaplin (215)	Counted	
State House of Representatives District 50 (133)		
Vote For: 1		
Write-In (135)	Counted	(Marked)
Sheriff (138)		
Vote For: 1		
Write-In (140)	Counted	(Marked)
Probate Judge (143)		
Vote For: 1		
Write-In (145)	Counted	(Marked)
Clerk of Court (148)		
Vote For: 1		
Teresa Arledge Brown (229)	Counted	
Coroner (153)		
Vote For: 1		
Larry J Logan (231)	Counted	
Soil and Water District Commission (173)		
Vote For: 1		
Undervoted		Undervoted

Amendment 1 (305)		
Vote For: 1		
Yes, In Favor of the Question (307)	Counted	

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

Image from a ballot scanned into the Early Voting Reporting Group. You will notice the three distinct Write-In selections.

Ballot Status: **Not Reviewed**

Ballot's Cast Vote Record: Electionware CVR: [REDACTED] Tabulator CVR: [REDACTED]

75% Actual Size Front Back More

LEE
STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION
11/5/2024
BISHOPVILLE NO. 1, GEN BISHOPVILLE N

STRAIGHT PARTY----- NO SELECTION
PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT-----
DONALD J TRUMP / JD VANCE
U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DIST 5-----
RALPH W NORMAN
STATE SENATE DIST 29-----
JD CHAPLIN
STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DIST 50-----
W/I: EDDIE BAKER ←
SHERIFF-----
W/I: BOLL WEEVIL ←
PROBATE JUDGE-----
W/I: HUGH JAYNUS ←
CLERK OF COURT-----
TERESA ARLEDGE BROWN
CORONER-----
LARRY J LOGAN
SOIL AND WATER DISTRICT COMMSSTON-----
NO SELECTION
AMENDMENT 1-----
YES

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

Cast Vote Record (CVR) from the ballot image on the previous page. This verifies this ballot was indeed cast into the Early Voting Reporting Group.

Cast Vote Record: [REDACTED]

Poll Place: Early Voting
Precinct: Bishopville No. 1
Ballot Style: GEN Bishopville No. 1 [REDACTED] [Sheets 1 - 1]
Party: Nonpartisan
Tabulator CVR: [REDACTED]
Machine Serial: Poll Place Count - 3023420329
Blank Ballot: NO
Reporting Group: Early Voting

Contests:

Straight Party (312)

Vote For: 1
Undervoted Undervoted

President and Vice President (115)

Vote For: 1
Donald J Trump (203) Counted

U.S. House of Representatives District 5 (118)

Vote For: 1
Ralph W Norman (211) Counted

State Senate District 29 (123)

Vote For: 1
JD Chaplin (215) Counted

State House of Representatives District 50 (133)

Vote For: 1
Write-In (135) Counted (Marked)

Sheriff (138)

Vote For: 1
Write-In (140) Counted (Marked)

Probate Judge (143)

Vote For: 1
Write-In (145) Counted (Marked)

Clerk of Court (148)

Vote For: 1
Teresa Arledge Brown (229) Counted

Coroner (153)

Vote For: 1
Larry J Logan (231) Counted

Soil and Water District Commission (173)

Vote For: 1
Undervoted Undervoted

Amendment 1 (305)

Vote For: 1
Yes, In Favor of the Question (307) Counted

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

Below are the vote totals from the 288 early voting ballots that were determined to be scanned twice during the recount. The totals are almost identical to the excess number of votes in the Election Day Reporting Group for the recount (Displayed on Report Page-1). The only difference being 2 votes in Bishopville 4 precinct (as noted on Report Page-1)

Early Voting Batches 9 and 10				
Polling Location	Chaplin	Malloy	Undervotes	Total
Bishopville 1	4	30	3	37
Bishopville 2	3	21	2	26
Bishopville 3	10	25	0	35
Bishopville 4	12	50	3	65
Cypress	7	16	0	23
Elliott	1	18	0	19
Lynchburg	0	8	0	8
Manville	0	21	1	22
Mt. Clio	8	4	0	12
S. Lynchburg	3	0	0	3
St. Charles	7	28	3	38
TOTAL	55	221	12	288

The distinct Write-In selections, the totals from last batch of early voting ballots and the fact that ballots scanned into the last batch of early voting had similarities to ballots scanned in the Election Day Reporting Group in each precinct brings us to the conclusion that the last batch of early voting ballots had already been scanned into the Election Day Reporting Group and were scanned again in the Early Voting Reporting Group. Thus, erroneously doubling totals in the recount tally.

Total ballots cast on election night:	4812
Subtract the 360 test ballots cast:	<u>-360</u>
	4452 ballots cast for original tabulation.

Total ballots cast for the recount:	4739
Subtract the double scanned ballots:	<u>-288</u>
	4451 ballots cast for recount.
<i>*Remember that no test ballots were present in the recount data.</i>	

LEE COUNTY RECOUNT EVALUATION

Final Lee County Recount Tabulations

Lee County Recount Tabulation								
	Ballots Cast	Election Day	Early Voting	Absentee by Mail	Failsafe	Provisional	Failsafe Provisional	Total
Chaplin	4451	468	679	43	0	0	0	1190
Malloy		1195	1894	82	0	4	0	3175
* Recount results used for final tabulation. Recount did not account for 1 ballot and 2 votes.								

State District 29 Final Tabulation	
Chaplin	24,783
Malloy	24,529

**This is the recount number from all counties including our evaluation numbers.*